PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

3 b 3 . 7	DCT
75/th	PCT
Anslation pa	NAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT
	(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)
Applicant's or agent's file reference 2002P01596WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of Internation Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/41
International application No. PCT/EP2003/014177	International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 20 December 2003 (20.12.2003) 20 December 2002 (20.12.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na D06F 58/28	tional classification and IPC
Applicant BSH BOS	SCH UND SIEMENS HAUSGERÄTE GMBH
This international preliminary exami and is transmitted to the applicant ac	ination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority ecording to Article 36.
	6 sheets, including this cover sheet.
l l amonded and are the basis for	ied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have be this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Fadministrative Instructions under the PCT).
These annexes consist of a to	otal of sheets.
3. This report contains indications rela	ating to the following items:
I Basis of the report	
II Priority	
To a salatinh mont	
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
Lack of unity of in	vention
IV Lack of unity of in	
IV Lack of unity of in	vention at under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; mations supporting such statement
IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statement citations and expla	vention at under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; mations supporting such statement
IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statement citations and explain VI Certain documents VII Certain defects in the	vention It under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; mations supporting such statement s cited
IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statement citations and explain VI Certain documents VII Certain defects in the contains observation.	vention It under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; mations supporting such statement s cited the international application
IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statement citations and explain VI Certain documents VII Certain defects in the contains absentiation.	vention It under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; mations supporting such statement s cited the international application
IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statemen citations and explain VI Certain documents VII Certain defects in the VIII Certain observation	vention Int under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; mations supporting such statement socited the international application ons on the international application Date of completion of this report
IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statemen citations and explain VI Certain documents VII Certain defects in the VIII Certain observatio Date of submission of the demand	vention Int under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; mations supporting such statement Is cited The international application The international application Date of completion of this report 28 December 2004 (28.12.2004)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/014177

		f the rep				
1. W	ith r	egard to	the elements of the international application:*	1		
\triangleright		the inter	rnational application as originally filed			
D	$\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$	the desc	cription:			
	_	pages	1-11	, as originally filed		
		pages	Cl. 1. ill die letter of	, filed with the demand		
		pages _	, filed with the letter of			
5	7	the clair	ms:			
_		pages	1-17	, as originally filed		
		pages	, as amended (together w	rith any statement under Article 19		
		pages		, filed with the demand		
		pages	, filed with the letter of			
	X	the drav	wings:			
-		pages	1/5-5/5	, as originally filed		
		pages		, filed with the demand		
		pages	, filed with the letter of			
l	٦,	he seaue	ence listing part of the description:	İ		
֡֓֜֜֜֜֜֓֓֓֜֓֜֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֜֜֓֓֓֓֡֓֜֓֡֓֡֓֡֓֜֡֓֜	. لـــــ	pages		, as originally filed		
		pages		, filed with the demand		
		pages	, filed with the letter of			
11.	4L - i.		to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this onal application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. onts were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language	Authority in the language in which which is:		
	\Box	the lar	nguage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Ru	le 23.1(b)).		
	Ħ	the la	nguage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the la	anguage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary .3).			
3.	Wit	h regard iminary	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the internati examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	ional application, the international		
		conta	ained in the international application in written form.	·		
l		filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.			
1			shed subsequently to this Authority in written form.			
		furni	shed subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.			
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the di international application as filed has been furnished.					
	L		statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical a furnished.	to the written sequence using has		
4.		The	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
	-	\Box	the description, pages			
ł		Ħ	the claims, Nos.			
1		Ħ	the drawings, sheets/fig			
5	. [This beyon	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, so and the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	ince they have been considered to go		
	in		ent sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invite port as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do n	ation under Article 14 are referred to ot contain amendments (Rule 70.16		
1.	*An	y replac	cement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anno	exed to this report.		

International application No.
PCT/EP 03/14177

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement		•	
Novelty (N)	Claims	5, 10, 17	YES
Novelly (14)	Claims	1-4, 6-9, 11-16	NO
470)	Claims	5, 10, 17	YES
Inventive step (IS)		1-4, 6-9, 11-16	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-17	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

This report makes reference to the following documents:

D1: US-A-3411 219 (BARTHOLOMEW RUSSELL W)
19 November 1968

D2: US-A-3 394 466 (HEIDTMANN DONALD S) 30 July 1968

D3: WO 97/32071 A (CAMP MARTIN; MORGAN MALCOLM (AU); SOUTHCORP WHITEGOODS PTY LTD (AU))

4 September 1997

D4: US-A-3300 869 (COTTON CURRAN D ET AL)

31 January 1967

- 2. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of <u>independent claims 1, 8 and 12</u> is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2). The reasons are:
- 2.1 D1 (see column 6, line 54 to column 7, line 52; the references in parentheses are to this document) discloses:

Device for determining the conductance of laundry in a clothes drier which comprises at least two

electrodes (136, 140), wherein the device comprises means for dissipating heat from at least one part of at least one of the electrodes (136, 140).

In D1 the airflow introduced by the ventilator 23', the exhaust air opening 134, the metal screen 136 (which also forms an electrode) and the exhaust air channel (137) are considered to be "means for dissipating heat". In particular, air reaching the electrodes has been cooled by the laundry to be dried and its temperature is therefore lower than when it enters the drum. Thus, the airflow lowers the temperature of the electrodes compared with the temperature in the rear drum area.

Therefore, all the features of the subject matter of independent claim 1 are known from D1.

D1 further discloses a clothes drier (10') which 2.2 comprises at least a receiving area (13') for laundry and at least two electrodes (136, 140) for measuring the conductance of the laundry, wherein means for cooling at least a part of at least one of the electrodes (136, 140) are further provided in the clothes drier (10').

> Therefore, all the features of the subject matter of independent claim 8 are also known from D1.

D1 further discloses a process for preventing 2.3 electrodes (136, 140) for measuring conductance in a clothes drier (10') from becoming coated, wherein the temperature of the electrodes (136, 140) is selectively controlled by means for dissipating heat.

In D1 friction between the laundry and the electrode surfaces (D4, column 6, lines 7-16, may also be referred to in this context) is considered to be a process for preventing electrodes for measuring conductance in a clothes drier from becoming coated. Moreover, the electrodes are arranged in the relatively cooler rear area of the drum directly at the air outlet, thereby giving the same advantages as those described in the present application (see, for example, page 2, line 31 to page 3, line 11).

D1 discloses that the temperature in the drum and, thus, also the temperature of the electrodes is selectively controlled: that is, during part of the drying cycle the temperature is reduced (see column 3, line 71 to column 4, line 7). Moreover, selective temperature control to prevent laundry from becoming excessively hot is a routine measure in clothes dryers, although in D1 such a control system is not described in detail (D2, column 4, lines 57-67, and column 6, lines 19-61, may also be referred to in this context).

Therefore, all the features of independent <u>claim 12</u> are also known from D1.

- 2.4 Similarly, D2 (see column 2, line 18 to column 3, line 74, column 4, lines 57-67, column 6, lines 19-61 and drawings) and D3 (see abstract and figures 1-2) each disclose all the features of the subject matter of independent claims 1, 8 and 12.
- 2.5 It should also be noted that the wording "means for dissipating heat" is so broad that D4 (see column 4,

line 29 to column 5, line 22 and drawings) can be considered prejudicial to novelty. The reasons are:

The conductance electrodes (144, 145; 200, 201) consist of conductive, metallic material which is therefore thermally conductive. Consequently, heat is dissipated through the electrode surfaces, in particular when the heating device of the drier is switched off at the end of the drying cycle.

- 21. D1-D3 each show the additional features of dependent claims 2-4, 6, 7, 9 and 11-16 (see the passages cited in the search report) and the subject matter of these claims therefore lacks novelty and consequently fails to satisfy the criterion in PCT Article 33(2).
- 4. The combinations of features contained in <u>dependent</u>

 claims 5, 10 or 17 appear to be neither known from

 nor disclosed by the available prior art. Therefore,
 they apparently meet the requirements of PCT Article

 33(1). The reasons are:

The problem addressed by the present invention may be considered that of providing an alternative, effective means of reducing the coating of electrodes for measuring conductance in a clothes drier.

None of the disclosed devices or processes for determining the conductance of laundry in a clothes drier discloses or suggests dissipating heat from the electrodes by selectively supplying cooling/ambient air.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14177

5. The subject matter of claims 1-17 is evidently industrial applicable (PCT Article 33(4)).

Further observations

6. Dependent claim 7 does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because the subject matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claim attempts to define the device by the relationship of the electrodes to the clothes drier. However, the clothes drier is not part of the claimed device.